IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

MONDAY, THE 17TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 26TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 24988 of 2007(Y)

PETITIONER:

P.R.MADHAVAN,AGED 65 YEARS,
S/O. RAMAN,PACOLIL HOUSE,
KUTHUPARA, VELLATHOOVAL,IDUKKI DT.

BY ADV. SRI.V.M.KRISHNAKUMAR
SMT.P.A.ANITHA

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
IDUKKI DT.

2. COMMISSIONER OF CIVIL SUPPLIES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. K.V.MADHU,
MANAGING PARTNER,
M/S. HIGHRANGE WHOLESALES,
BUILDING NO. 4/123,
ADIMALI, IDUKKI DT.

R1 & R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER MR. I.V. PRAMOD.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 17/09/2007 THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No. 24988 OF 2007 Y
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 17th September, 2007

J U D G M E N T

By Ext. P2 the 3rd respondent was appointed as an authorised wholesale dealer. It was challenged in appeal filed by the petitioner and others. The appeal was disposed of by Ext. P3 order remanding the matter for fresh consideration by the District Collector for issuing a detailed speaking order. The complaint of the petitioner is that while the 3rd respondent is permitted to continue as a wholesale dealer, orders consequent to Ext. P3 have not been passed by the 1st respondent. He has also averred that his representation (Ext. P4) has not evoked any response from the 1st respondent. In these circumstances, the writ petition is filed praying for a direction to the 1st respondent to pass final orders consequent to Ext. P3 appellate order.

2. Ext. P3 order was issued by the 2nd respondent on 12-6-2007. In the nature of the controversy that is raised, it is necessary that the issue is given a

quietus without delay. In the circumstances I dispose of the writ petition directing the 1st respondent to pass orders consequent to Ext. P3 as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Petitioner and the 3rd respondent shall be given an opportunity of being heard before final orders are passed.

Petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment before the 1st respondent for compliance.

ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE

jan/-